

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,672	02/23/2004	Michael P. Whitman	H-PM-00024 (1800-24)	2683
91478 Tyco Healthca	7590 09/14/201 are Group L.P	EXAMINER		
555 Long Wha	arf Drive	WEEKS, GLORIA R		
Mail Stop 8-N New Haven, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rion riaron, c			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/785,672	WHITMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GLORIA R. WEEKS	3721		

	GLORIA R. WEEKS	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonoion foo				
Detersions of time in any be document on united of Orthogogy. The development have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			appeal. Since				
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).					
	t prior to the data of filing a brief						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	•				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Rinaldi I Rada/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner has considered the arguments filed on 09/01/2010, but has not found the arguments persuasive.

Applicant has argued that Grant fails to disclose element 112 as a "rim" that extends inwardly from element 104. However, Figure 9 of Grant illustrates element 112 radially inward of element 104, and element 110, includes portions 113 which traves restend radially inward to element 104 includes portions 113 which restend radially inwardly as shown in figure 33. With regards to the term "rim", Examiner has found such a phrase to structurally define an edge of an element. In this case, element 112 is found to include such structure. Examiner maintains the same assessment of Balzar segarding the radially inward extension of a rim, as shown in figures 2a and 2b provided by Applicant on page 12 of the remarks filed on 09/01/2010, as well as that of Toledano.